

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/662,923	09/15/2003	Peter M. Bonutti	2500DV2CN2DV3CN2	2728	
75	90 11/23/2005		EXAMINER		
Patent Counsel			THALER, MICHAEL H		
U.S. Surgical, A Division of TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
150 Glover Avenue			3731		
Norwalk, CO 06856			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/662,923	BONUTTI, PETER M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Thaler	3731		

	Michael Thaler	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a No wing replies: (1) an amendm otice of Appeal (with appeal)	otice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other evider fee) in compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from th (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH	e mailing date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		CER 1 136(a) and the appropria	to ovtoneion fee
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for re r than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The appropri eply originally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing	a brief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		parate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro) 🗵 will be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-5,7-9 and 11-13.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> B.	it before or on the date of fill	ing a Notice of Anneal will no	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by Please see the attached page. 	ut does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) F	Paper No(s)	
13. Other:		7	
		flul ou	-
		Michael Thaler Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 3731

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): claims 2-5, 7-9 and 11-13 under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs.

purpose.

Making the distal end of the balloon 72 of Daniels et al. open to slidably receive a guidewire as taught by Kontos et al. in col. 8, lines 8-19 would not prevent the balloon from retaining pressurized fluid since the balloon itself would be sealed while the guidewire lumen passing through the balloon would have an open distal end. Note that Kontos et al. teaches using a dual lumen pilot catheter (col. 8, lines 10-11) for the alternative procedure wherein the catheter is slidable on the guidewire described in col. 8, lines 8-19. In such a dual lumen catheter (shown in figure 9 of Kontos et al. for balloon 34, for example), one lumen is a guidewire lumen passing through the balloon and one lumen is an inflation lumen in communication with the interior of the balloon wherein fluid is prevented from escaping from the balloon out the guidewire lumen. Therefore, the proposed modification of the Daniels et al. catheter would not result in the escape of inflation fluid from balloon 72 and thus would not render the device inoperable for its stated

Page 2